

Draft of June 1, 2020

**AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE
OKLAHOMA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM**

**PERMIT NUMBER: OK0027049
ID NUMBER: S21506**

PART I

In compliance with the Oklahoma Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Act (OPDES Act), Title 27A O.S. § 2-6-201 *et seq.*, and the rules of the State of Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) adopted thereunder {See OAC 252:606}; the Federal Clean Water Act, Public Law 95-217 (33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*), Section 402; and NPDES Regulations (40 CFR Parts 122, 124, and 403),

City of Claremore
104 South Muskogee Avenue
Claremore, OK 74017

is hereby authorized to discharge treated wastewater from a facility located at approximately

SW¼, SE¼, SW¼, Section 16, Township 21N, Range 16E IM,
Rogers County, Oklahoma
or at 1500 South Choctaw, Oklahoma, OK 74017

to receiving waters: Verdigris River at the point located at approximately

Latitude: 36° 13' 35.42" N [GPS: NAD 1983 CONUS]
Longitude: 95° 36' 21.32" W [GPS: NAD 1983 CONUS]

Planning Segment No. 121500 (Water Body I.D. No. 121500020260_00)

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in Parts I, II, III, and IV hereof.

This permit replaces and supersedes the previous permit issued on January 20, 2015.

The issuance date of this permit is **Month Date, Year**.

This permit shall become effective **Month Date, Year**.

This permit and authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight **Month Date, Year**.

For the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality:

Michael B. Moe, P.E., Manager
Municipal Discharge and Stormwater Permit Section
Water Quality Division

Shellie R. Chard, Director
Water Quality Division

A. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements (Outfall 002)

1. Effluent Limitations

During the period beginning the effective date and lasting through date of expiration the permittee is authorized to discharge treated wastewater in accordance with the following limitations:

Effluent Characteristics		Discharge Limitations				Monitoring Requirements	
		Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)	Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)			Measurement Frequency ^a	Sample Type
			Monthly Average	Monthly Average	Weekly Average		
Flow (mgd) [STORET: 50050]		---	Report	---	Report	Daily	Totalized
Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand - 5 Day (CBOD₅) [STORET: 80082]	Jun - Oct	583.8	20	30	---	1/Week	6-hour composite
Biochemical Oxygen Demand -5 Day (BOD₅) [STORET: 00310]	Nov - May	875.7	30	45	---	1/Week	6-hour composite
Total Suspended Solids (TSS) [STORET: 00530]	Year round	875.7	30	45	---	1/Week	6-hour composite
Ammonia – as N [STORET: 00610]	Jun - Oct	204.3	7.0	10.5	---	1/Week	6-hour composite
Dissolved Oxygen (DO) [STORET: 00300]	Nov- May	---	Minimum 5.0 mg/l		---	Daily	Grab
	Jun - Oct		Minimum 6.0 mg/l				
E. Coli ^b [STORET: 51040]	May– Sep	---	126 (Geo. mean)	---	406	2/Week	Grab
	Oct - Apr	---	630 (Geo. mean)	---	2,030	1/Week	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine ^d [STORET: 50060]	Year round	---	Instantaneous Maximum: No Measurable ^c			Daily	Grab
pH (standard unit) [STORET: 00400]	Year round	---	6.5 – 9.0			Daily	Grab

^a The monitoring frequency is in accordance with OAC 252:606 Appendix A – Table 1-3.

^b *E. coli* shall be reported in MPN/100 ml.

^c No measurable is defined as less than 0.1 mg/l.

^d If no chlorine is used for an entire reporting period, the permittee shall report a value of “zero” for the daily maximum and enter “No chlorine used this reporting period” in the comments section on the DMR for that reporting period in lieu of the indicated testing. For any week in which chlorine is used, the indicated testing shall be done until the chlorine is no longer in use and at least one subsequent test verifies that the effluent meets the total residual chlorine limit.

Other Year Round Requirements

- There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.
- There shall be no discharge of a visible sheen of oil or globules of oil or grease on or in the water. Oil and grease shall not be present in quantities that adhere to stream banks and coat bottoms of water courses or which cause deleterious effects to the biota.
- Samples taken in compliance with permit limits and monitoring requirements shall be taken at the end of the Chlorination contact basin located in the SW ¼, SE ¼, SW ¼, Section 16, Township 21 N, Range 16 E, I.M., Rogers County, Oklahoma.
- All monitoring and reporting requirements shall also be in compliance with Part III of this permit.

2. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Reporting and Monitoring Requirements (Outfall TX2)

During the period beginning the effective date of the permit and lasting through the expiration date, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall TX2 (functionally identical to Outfall 002). Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below.

The permittee is encouraged to perform required biomonitoring activities as early in the reporting period as is practical to ensure sufficient time remains in the reporting period should retests/repeat tests be necessary. All laboratory analyses for the biomonitoring parameters specified in this permit must be performed by a laboratory certified by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality for those parameters.

(1) Acute WET Test (Outfall TX2)

a. Acute WET Reporting and Monitoring Requirements

Whole Effluent Toxicity Reporting and Monitoring Requirements (Outfall TX2)

Effluent Characteristic			Reporting/Monitoring Requirements ^a		
Test	Critical Dilution ^b	Parameter	48-hour Minimum	Testing Frequency ^f	Sample Type
Routine Testing <i>Daphnia pulex</i> , 48-hour acute LC ₅₀ static renewal, freshwater	100%	Pass/Fail Survival [TIM3D]	Report	1/quarter ^e	24-hour composite
		LC ₅₀ Effluent Concentration [TAM3D]	Report		
		% Mortality at 100% Effluent [TJM3D]	Report		
Routine Testing <i>Pimephales promelas</i> (Fathead minnow), 48-hour acute LC ₅₀ static renewal, freshwater	100%	Pass/Fail Survival [TIM6C]	Report	1/quarter ^e	24-hour composite
		LC ₅₀ Effluent Concentration [TAM6C]	Report		
		% Mortality at 100% Effluent [TJM6C]	Report		
Retesting	Retest No. 1 [22415] ^c		Report	As required ^d	24-hour composite
	Retest No. 2 [22416] ^c		Report		

^a See Permit Part II, Section E. Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing, for additional monitoring and reporting conditions.

^b All acute tests shall be run using the dilution series specified in Permit Part II, Section E, Item 1.

- ^c Applies to either or both test species according to results of test failure triggering monthly retests.
- ^d Monthly retesting required only if routine test for reporting period (for either species) fails. Fill out ONLY these two retest parameters on the retest DMRs, do not change the original results, and enter the correct submission date.
- ^e Results of retests conducted pursuant to prior test failure shall not be submitted on DMRs in lieu of routine test results (see Permit Part II, Section E, Item 2.a).
- ^f See provision for monitoring frequency reduction after the first year (Part II, Section E, Item 5.)

D. pulex whole effluent toxicity reporting and monitoring requirements apply beginning *pending issuance*, and the first reporting period is _____ to _____.

P. promelas (Fathead minnow) whole effluent toxicity reporting and monitoring requirements apply beginning _____, and the first reporting period is _____ to _____.

b. WET testing summary reports:

Reports of all WET testing initiated, regardless of whether such tests are carried to completion, shall follow the requirements of Part II, Section E, Item 4.

c. Concurrent Testing Provision for Acute WET Testing

Concurrent analysis of ammonia and pH is required for each individual effluent sample collected for acute WET testing or retesting of the Fathead minnow species. Reporting of concurrent testing results shall be in accordance with the following requirements. Results shall also be submitted in or concurrently with each WET test report.

Concurrent Effluent Testing for Acute WET Tests – Reporting Requirements (Outfall TX2)

Effluent Characteristic	Concentration			Monitoring Requirements	
	Daily Min	Monthly Avg	Daily Max	Monitoring Frequency ^c	Sample Type ^b
Ammonia, (NH ₃ -N) (mg/l) [STORET 00610] ^a	Report	Report	Report	1/quarter	24 hour composite
pH (std units) [STORET 00400] ^a	Report	N/A	Report	1/quarter	Measured in each composite effluent sample, including static renewals, just prior to first use

^a Two sets of samples for concurrent analyses are required for ammonia and pH: Report only those effluent samples collected for WET testing of the *Pimephales promelas*.

Samples collected for WET testing purposes, including static renewals, shall be of sufficient volume to allow for the required concurrent analyses in addition to the WET testing itself.

Samples sent directly to a WET testing laboratory shall NOT undergo any preservation other than refrigeration to maintain a temperature at or below 6°C but not frozen prior to arrival and processing at the WET testing laboratory. These results should be used in the table above. Samples sent directly to a state certified analytical laboratory must be composite samples that are properly preserved. These results may be included in the results for Outfall 002.

A second concurrent analysis is required for the sample that is sent to the WET testing laboratory and for the table above. Just prior to first use of each composite sample for WET testing purposes, the biomonitoring laboratory shall take an adequately-sized portion of each composite sample, acidify it in accordance with preservation requirements in 40 CFR 136, and have it analyzed for ammonia (NH₃-N) at a state certified

laboratory. The pH measurement required for the above table must be taken just prior to the acidification step. These pH and ammonia readings should NOT be included in the results for Outfall 002.

Concurrent ammonia analyses must be performed on composite samples that are properly preserved and delivered directly to a state certified analytical laboratory. These results shall be included in the results for Outfall 002.

- b The sample must be a composite that is properly preserved and refrigerated to maintain a temperature at or below 6°C but not frozen prior to arrival and processing. This result may be included in the results for Outfall 002, if required.
- c See provision for WET testing monitoring frequency reduction after the first year (Part II, Section E, Item 5)

d. Sampling Location

Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above for Outfall TX2 shall be taken at the following location: at the same location as for Outfall 002 (see cover page for latitude/longitude and legal location).

B. Sanitary Sewer Overflows

Any bypass in the collection system [sanitary sewer overflow (SSO)] shall be reported in accordance with Permit Part III.B.6.

C. Reporting of Monitoring Results

Monitoring results shall be reported in accordance with the provisions of Part III.B.5 of the permit. Monitoring results obtained during the previous month shall be summarized and electronically reported on an electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) form due to the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, Water Quality Division, Wastewater Compliance Tracking Section no later than the 15th day of the month following the completed monthly test. If no discharge occurs during the reporting period, an eDMR form stating "No Discharge" shall be electronically submitted according to the above schedule. Instructions on how to register as a Preparer or Signatory for eDMRs, as well as how to prepare and submit eDMRs, can be found on DEQ's website at <http://www.deq.state.ok.us/wqdnew/ereporting/index.html>. Assistance is also available by contacting DEQ at (405) 702-8100 or email deqreporting@deq.ok.gov.

The first report is due on the 15th of MONTH, 2021.

PERMIT PART II - OTHER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

A. CONTRIBUTING INDUSTRIES AND PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee shall operate an industrial pretreatment program in accordance with Section 402(b)(8) of the Clean Water Act, the General Pretreatment Regulations (40 CFR Part 403) and the provisions of the subsequently approved industrial pretreatment program submitted by the permittee. A Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) facility is defined in 40 CFR 403.3(q) as any devices and systems used in storage, treatment, recycling and reclamation of municipal sewage and industrial wastes of a liquid nature. It includes sewers, pipes and other conveyances if they convey wastewater to a POTW. The term also means a municipality as defined in the Act, which has jurisdiction over the Indirect Discharges to and from such treatment works. This POTW pretreatment program was approved on September 24, 1993 and modified June 20, 2000, July 21, 2003 and on January 8, 2016, to incorporate the latest 40 CFR Part 403 regulations adopted by DEQ effective June 15, 2007. Any non-substantial modifications [as defined under 40 CFR 403.18(b)] to the POTW pretreatment program received and implemented in accordance with 40 CFR 403.18(d) shall be considered incorporated as of the date of approval by DEQ. The current POTW pretreatment program is hereby incorporated by reference and shall be implemented in a manner consistent with the following requirements:
 - a. Industrial user information shall be updated at a frequency adequate to ensure that all IUs are properly characterized at all times;
 - b. The frequency and nature of industrial user compliance monitoring activities by the permittee shall be commensurate with the character, consistency and volume of waste. The permittee must inspect and sample the effluent from each Significant Industrial User in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(v). This is in addition to any industrial self-monitoring activities;
 - c. The permittee shall enforce and obtain remedies for noncompliance by any industrial users with applicable pretreatment standards and requirements;
 - d. The permittee shall control through permit, order, or similar means, the contribution to the POTW by each Industrial User to ensure compliance with applicable pretreatment standards and requirements. In the case of Industrial Users identified as significant under 40 CFR 403.3(v), this control shall be achieved through individual or general control mechanisms in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(1)(iii). Both individual and general control mechanisms must be enforceable and contain, at a minimum, the following conditions:
 1. Statement of duration (in no case more than five years);
 2. Statement of non-transferability without, at a minimum, prior notification to the POTW and provision of a copy of the existing control mechanism to the new owner or operator;
 3. Effluent limits and/or Best Management Practices based on applicable general and categorical Pretreatment Standards, local limits, and State and local laws;
 4. Self-monitoring, sampling, reporting, notification and record keeping requirements, including an identification of the pollutants to be monitored (including the process for seeking pollutant waivers in accordance with 403.12(e)(2)), sampling location, sampling frequency, and sample type, based on the applicable general and categorical Pretreatment Standards, local limits, and State and local laws; and

5. Statement of applicable civil and criminal penalties for violation of Pretreatment Standards and requirements and any applicable compliance schedule. Such schedules may not extend the compliance date beyond federal deadlines; and
6. Requirements to control slug discharges, if determined by the POTW to be necessary.
 - e. The permittee shall evaluate whether each Significant Industrial User needs a plan or other action to control slug discharges in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(vi);
 - f. The permittee shall provide adequate staff, equipment, and support capabilities to carry out all elements of the pretreatment program; and,
 - g. The approved program shall not be modified by the permittee without the prior approval of the DEQ.
2. The permittee shall establish and continue to develop and enforce technically based local limits (TBLL) to implement the provisions of 40 CFR Part 403.5. POTWs may develop Best Management Practices (BMPs) to implement paragraphs 40 CFR 403.5(c)(1) and (c)(2). Such BMPs shall be considered local limits and Pretreatment Standards. All specific prohibitions or limits developed under this requirement are deemed to be conditions of this permit. The general and specific prohibitions set out in 40 CFR Parts 403.5(a)(1) and (b) shall also be enforced by the permittee unless modified under this provision.

The permittee shall, within sixty days of the effective date of this permit, (1) submit a WRITTEN CERTIFICATION that a technical evaluation has been performed demonstrating that the existing technically based local limits (TBLL) are based on the current state water quality standards and are adequate to prevent pass through of pollutants, inhibition of or interference with the treatment facility, worker health and safety problems, and sludge contamination, OR (2) submit a WRITTEN NOTIFICATION that a technical evaluation revising the current TBLL and a draft sewer use ordinance which incorporates such revisions will be submitted within 12 months of the effective date of this permit.

3. The permittee shall analyze, at a minimum the treatment facility influent and effluent for the presence of the toxic pollutants listed in 40 CFR 122 Appendix D (NPDES Application Testing Requirements) Table II at least annually (once per year) and the toxic pollutants in Table III plus molybdenum at least semi-annually (once per six months). If, based upon information available to the permittee there is reason to suspect the presence of any toxic or hazardous pollutant listed in Table V, or any other pollutant, known or suspected to adversely affect treatment plant operation, receiving water quality, or solids disposal procedures, analysis for those pollutants shall be performed at least semi-annually (once per six months) on both the influent and the effluent.

The influent and effluent samples collected shall be flow-composite samples consisting of at least 12 aliquots collected at approximately equal intervals over a representative 24 hour period. Sampling and analytical procedures shall be in accordance with guidelines established in 40 CFR 136. The effluent samples shall be analyzed to a level as required in item 6 below. Where composite samples are inappropriate, due to sampling, holding time, or analytical constraints, grab samples shall be taken.

4. The permittee shall prepare annually a list of Industrial Users which during the preceding pretreatment year were significantly noncompliant with applicable pretreatment requirements. For the purposes of this Part, significant noncompliance shall be determined based upon the more stringent of either criteria established at 40 CFR Part 403.8(f)(2)(viii) or criteria established in the

approved POTW pretreatment program. This list is to be published annually in a newspaper of general circulation that provides meaningful public notice within the jurisdiction(s) served by the POTW during the month of **November**.

In addition, during the month of **November** the permittee shall submit an updated status report to DEQ containing the following information:

- a. An updated list of all Non-significant Categorical Industrial Users defined under 40 CFR 403.3(v)(2) if applicable, Categorical Industrial Users subject to reduced reporting under 40 CFR 403.12(e)(3) if applicable and Significant Industrial Users . For each industrial user listed the following information shall be included:
 1. Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) or NAISC code and categorical determination;
 2. Control document status. Whether the user has an effective control document, and the date such document was last issued, reissued, or modified, (indicate which industrial users were added to the system (or newly identified) within the previous year);
 3. A summary of all monitoring activities performed within the previous year. The following information shall be reported:
 - total number of inspections performed;
 - total number of sampling visits made;
 4. Status of compliance with both effluent limitations and reporting requirements. Compliance status shall be defined as follows:
 - Compliant (C) - no violations during the previous pretreatment year;
 - Non-compliant (NC) - one or more violations during the previous pretreatment year but does not meet the criteria for significant non-compliance;
 - Significantly Noncompliant (SNC) - in accordance with requirements described above; and
 5. For significantly noncompliant industrial users, indicate the nature of the violations, the type and number of actions taken (notice of violation, administrative order, criminal or civil suit, fines or penalties collected, etc.) and current compliance status. If ANY industrial user was on a schedule to attain compliance with effluent limits, indicate the date the schedule was issued and the date compliance is to be attained;
- b. A list of all significant industrial users whose authorization to discharge was terminated or revoked during the preceding pretreatment year and the reason for termination;
- c. A report on any interference, pass through, upset or POTW permit violations known or suspected to be caused by industrial contributors and actions taken by the permittee in response;
- d. A copy of the newspaper publication of the significantly non-compliant industrial users giving the name of the newspaper and the date published;
- e. The results of all influent and effluent analyses performed pursuant to above requirements;
- f. A comparison of the influent and effluent analyses performed pursuant to above with maximum allowable headworks loadings developed in the approved technically based local

limits and water quality based effluent concentrations necessary to meet state water quality standards.

5. The permittee shall provide adequate notice of the following:
 - a. Any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Sections 301 and 306 of the CWA and/or Sections 40 CFR 405-499 if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
 - b. Any substantial change in-the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works by a source introducing pollutants into the treatment works at the time of issuance of the permit.

Adequate notice shall include information on (i) the quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into the treatment works, and (ii) any anticipated impact of the change on the quality or quantity of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

6. All effluent monitoring conducted pursuant to above requirements shall meet the Minimum Quantification Levels (MQLs) shown in the following tables:

B. REOPENER CLAUSE

This permit may be reopened for modification or revocation and reissuance to require additional monitoring and/or effluent limitations where actual or potential exceedances of State water quality criteria are determined to be the result of the permittee's discharge to the receiving water(s), or a revised Total Maximum Daily Load is established for the receiving water(s), or when required as technology advances. Modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit shall follow regulations listed at 40 CFR 124.5

C. BIOSOLIDS/SEWAGE SLUDGE REQUIREMENTS

Sewage sludge disposal practices shall comply with the federal regulations for landfills, sludge, and solid waste disposal established at 40 CFR Part 257, 503, and the DEQ rules governing Sludge Management (OAC 252:515 and OAC 252:606) as applicable.

The sludge removal shall also comply with the requirements of Sludge Management plan number 3566023, approved by the Department of Environmental Quality (formerly Oklahoma State Department of Health) on August 12, 1987, and modified on March 6, 2015, that allows the permittee to land apply biosolids/sewage sludge at Gardner Property site located in Section 4, Township 22 North, Range 16 East, Indian Meridian, Rogers County, Oklahoma. Biosolids/sewage sludge disposal shall also comply with the requirements of the Sludge Disposition Plan for the facility approved by the DEQ on June 2, 2014, that allows the permittee to landfill biosolids/sewage sludge at the Quarry Landfill (permit number 2572048), located in the Section 16, Township 20 North, Range 14 East, Indian Meridian, Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The permittee shall give 120 days prior notice to DEQ of any change planned in the biosolids/sewage sludge disposal practice.

In addition, the permittee shall comply with other biosolids/sewage sludge requirements specified in Part IV of this permit.

The permittee is required to maintain all records relevant to biosolids/sewage sludge disposal for the life of the permit. These records shall be made available to DEQ upon request.

D. POLLUTION PREVENTION REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee shall institute a program within 12 months of the effective date of the permit (or continue an existing program) directed towards optimizing the efficiency and extending the useful life of the facility. The permittee shall consider the following items in the program:
 - a. The influent loadings, flow and design capacity;
 - b. The effluent quality and plant performance;
 - c. The age and expected life of the wastewater treatment facility's equipment;
 - d. Bypasses and overflows of the tributary sewerage system and treatment works;
 - e. New developments at the facility;
 - f. Operator certification and training plans and status;
 - g. The financial status of the facility;
 - h. Preventative maintenance programs and equipment conditions; and
 - i. An overall evaluation of conditions at the facility.

2. The permittee shall prepare the following information on the biosolids/sewage sludge generated by the facility:
 - a. An annual quantitative tabulation of the ultimate disposition of all biosolids/sewage sludge (including, but not limited to, the amount beneficially reused, landfilled, and incinerated).
 - b. An assessment of technological processes and an economic analysis evaluating the potential for beneficial reuse of all biosolids/sewage sludge not currently beneficially reused including a listing of any steps which would be required to achieve the biosolids/sewage sludge quality necessary to beneficially reuse the biosolids/sewage sludge.
 - c. A description of, including the expected results and the anticipated timing for, all projects in process, in planning and/or being considered which are directed towards additional beneficial reuse of biosolids/sewage sludge.
 - d. An analysis of one composite sample of the biosolids/sewage sludge collected prior to ultimate re-use or disposal shall be performed for the pollutants listed in Part IV, Element 1, Section III, Table 3.
 - e. A listing of the specific steps (controls/changes) which would be necessary to achieve and sustain the quality of the biosolids/sewage sludge so that the pollutant concentrations in the biosolids/sewage sludge fall below the pollutant concentration criteria listed in Part IV, Element 1, Section III, Table 3.
 - f. A listing of, and the anticipated timing for, all projects in process, in planning, and/or being considered which are directed towards meeting the biosolids/sewage sludge quality referenced in (e) above.

The permittee shall certify in writing, within three years of the effective date of the permit, that all pertinent information is available. This certification shall be submitted to:

Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality
Water Quality Division
Municipal Permits Section
P. O. Box 1677
707 North Robinson Street

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73101-1677

E. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING

(A) 48-HOUR ACUTE LC₅₀

1. Scope and Methodology

- a. The permittee shall test the effluent for toxicity in accordance with the provisions in this section, which apply individually and separately to the outfalls listed below. No samples or portions of samples from one outfall may be composited with samples or portions of samples from another outfall. The permittee shall biomonitor for *Daphnia pulex* and *Pimephales promelas* in accordance with the WET testing frequencies prescribed in Part I.

The permittee is encouraged to perform required biomonitoring activities as early in the reporting period as is practical to ensure sufficient time remains in the reporting period should retests/repeat tests be necessary.

All laboratory analyses for the biomonitoring parameters specified in this permit must be performed by a laboratory certified by the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality for those parameters.

Provisions for performance-based monitoring frequency reductions are contained in Item 5 of this section.

Intervals between test initiation dates shall be a function of the required testing frequency, as follows:

- Monthly: No less than 20 days and no more than 40 days.
- Quarterly: No less than 2 months and no more than 4 months.
- Semi-annually: No less than 4 months and no more than 8 months.

APPLICABLE TO OUTFALL(S):	002
REPORTED ON DMR AS OUTFALL(S):	TX2
CRITICAL DILUTION:	100%
EFFLUENT DILUTION SERIES (ALL TESTS):	32%, 42%, 56%, 75%, 100%
SAMPLE TYPE:	Defined at Part I
TEST SPECIES/METHODS:	40 CFR 136, except for changes required by EPA, Region 6.

Daphnia pulex acute static renewal 48-hour definitive toxicity test, Method 2021.0, EPA-821-R-02-012 (October 2002), or latest update thereof. A minimum of five (5) replicates with eight (8) organisms per replicate must be used in the control and in each effluent dilution of this test.

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow) acute static renewal 48-hour definitive toxicity test, Method 2000.0, EPA-821-R-02-012 (October 2002), or latest update thereof. A minimum of

five (5) replicates with eight (8) organisms per replicate must be used in the control and in each effluent dilution of this test.

- b. Acute test failure – Acute test failure (LC₅₀ test) is defined as 50% or more lethality (toxicity) at 48 hours to test organisms at any effluent concentration. The 48-hour LC₅₀ effluent value must be >100% to indicate a passing test. Any 48-hour LC₅₀ effluent value of 100% or less (or equivalently, a survival value of less than 50.1% in any test dilution) will constitute a test failure.
- c. Reopener clause – This permit may be reopened to require whole effluent toxicity limits, chemical specific effluent limits, additional testing, and/or other appropriate actions to address toxicity.

2. Testing Requirements due to Test Failure

Upon becoming aware of the failure of any test, the permittee shall immediately notify the DEQ Water Quality Division biomonitoring coordinator, and shall provide written notification within 5 working days, of the test failure with a summary of the results of, and any other pertinent circumstances associated with, the failed test.

- a. Whenever there is a test failure for *Daphnia pulex* and/or *Pimephales promelas* during routine testing, the frequency of testing for *Daphnia pulex* and/or *Pimephales promelas* shall automatically increase to, or continue at, as appropriate, the WET testing frequency prescribed in Part I for the remaining life of the permit. In addition, two (2) additional monthly tests (retests) of *Daphnia pulex* and/or *Pimephales promelas* are required. The two additional tests shall be conducted monthly during the next two consecutive months. The permittee shall not substitute either of the two additional tests for routine toxicity testing. A full laboratory report for the failed routine test and both additional tests, if required, shall be prepared and submitted to DEQ in accordance with procedures outlined in Item 4 of this section.
- b. Persistent toxicity – If either of the two additional tests results in an LC₅₀ value less than or equal to 100%, persistent toxicity is exhibited. Then the permittee shall initiate a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) as specified in Item 6 of this section. The TRE initiation date will be the test completion date of the first failed retest. The permittee may request a temporary exemption to this TRE-triggering criterion only if the permittee is under a compliance schedule defined in an OPDES permit or an enforcement order to effect aquatic toxicity reduction measures.
- c. Intermittent toxicity – If both additional tests result in an LC₅₀ value of greater than 100%, persistent toxicity is not exhibited. However, if any routine test failure occurs within 18 months of a prior test failure, intermittent toxicity is exhibited, and the permittee may be required by DEQ to initiate a TRE, as described in Item 6 of this section, based on the severity and pattern of such toxic effect over time.
- d. Suspension of Retesting Requirement during TRE - Retesting requirements in Item 2.a are temporarily suspended upon submittal of a TRE Action Plan. Such suspension of retesting requirements applies only to the species under evaluation by a TRE and only to the period during which a TRE is being performed.

3. Required Toxicity Testing Conditions

- a. Test acceptance – The permittee shall repeat a test, including the control and all effluent dilutions, if the procedures and quality assurance requirements defined in the test methods or in this permit are not satisfied, including the following additional criteria:
 - (1) The toxicity test control (0% effluent) must have survival equal to or greater than 90%.
 - (2) The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the control (0% effluent) for the *Daphnia pulex* and Fathead minnow survival tests.
 - (3) The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the critical dilution, unless significant toxicity is exhibited in the *Daphnia pulex* and Fathead minnow survival tests.
 - (4) As documented at test termination, no more than forty (40) percent of the daphnid test organisms in any replicate of any effluent dilution or in any replicate of the control (0% effluent) shall be male.

If the above criteria or criteria listed in Item 1.a are not met the test will be considered invalid. Test failure may not be construed or reported as invalid due to a coefficient of variation value for toxicity of greater than 40% for replicates tested at the critical dilution. A repeat test shall be conducted and the biomonitoring enforcement coordinator notified, within the reporting period of any test determined to be invalid.

- b. The permittee shall follow the requirements listed below in determining success or failure of a WET test:

The statistical analyses in the *Daphnia pulex* and the Fathead minnow survival tests, used to determine the LC₅₀ shall be in accordance with the methods described in EPA-821-R-02-012, or the most recent update thereof.

- c. The permittee shall use dilution water that meets the following standards:
 - (1) Dilution water used in the toxicity tests will be receiving water collected as close to the point of discharge as possible but unaffected by the discharge. In OAC 252:690-3-36, for discharges to a receiving stream classified as intermittent or to a receiving stream with no flow due to zero flow, the permittee shall substitute synthetic dilution water of similar pH, hardness and alkalinity to the closest downstream perennial water where the toxicity test is conducted. In the event that the receiving stream has sufficient flow for a sample to be collected, the facility will return to receiving stream water instead of synthetic.
 - (2) If the receiving water is unsatisfactory as a result of instream toxicity (fails to meet the test acceptance criteria in Item 3.a), the permittee must submit the test results exhibiting receiving water toxicity with the full test report required in Item 4 below and may thereafter substitute synthetic dilution water for the receiving water in all subsequent tests, provided the unacceptable receiving water test met the following stipulations:
 - (a) a synthetic dilution water control which fulfills the test acceptance requirements of Item 3.a was run concurrently with the receiving water control;

- (b) the test indicating receiving water toxicity was carried out to completion (i.e., 48 hours);
 - (c) the synthetic dilution water had a pH, hardness and alkalinity similar to that of the receiving water or closest downstream perennial water not adversely affected by the discharge, provided the magnitude of these parameters will not cause toxicity in the synthetic dilution water; and
 - (d) the receiving water test must be conducted at the start of each permitting cycle.
- d. The permittee shall collect samples that are representative of their effluent by following the criteria listed below:
- (1) Unless grab sampling is specifically authorized in Part I of the permit, the permittee shall collect two flow-weighted 24-hour composite samples representative of the flows during normal operation from the outfall(s) listed at Item 1.a above. If grab sampling is authorized, all the requirements listed below for composite sampling also pertain to grab sampling. In such cases, collection of the grab sample is considered equivalent to collection of the last portion of a composite sample. Unless otherwise specified in Part I of the permit, a 24-hour composite sample consists of a minimum of 12 effluent portions collected at equal time intervals representative of a 24-hour operating day and combined proportional to flow, or a sample continuously collected proportional to flow over a 24-hour operating day.
 - (2) The permittee must collect the composite samples so that the maximum holding time for any effluent sample shall not exceed 36 hours. The permittee must initiate the toxicity test within 36 hours after the collection of the last portion of the first composite sample. The first composite sample shall be used to initiate each test. The second composite sample shall be used for 24-hour static renewal of each dilution concentration for each test. Samples shall be chilled to maintain a temperature at or below 6° C but not frozen during collection, shipping, and/or storage.
 - (3) The permittee must collect the composite samples such that the effluent samples are representative of any periodic episode of chlorination, biocide usage or other potentially toxic substance discharged on an intermittent basis.
 - (4) If it is anticipated that flow from the outfall being tested may cease prior to collection of the second composite sample, the permittee must ensure that the first composite sample is of sufficient volume to complete the required testing with daily renewal of effluent. The abbreviated composite sample collection duration, the static renewal protocol associated with an abbreviated sample collection, and a summary of the circumstances justifying collection of an abbreviated sample must be adequately documented in the full test report required in Item 4 below. DEQ reserves the right to require a retest and/or consider the permittee in violation of this permit if the basis offered for justification of an abbreviated sample is insufficient, flawed, or in any way reflects an effort on the part of the permittee to avoid test failure by use of an abbreviated sample.

4. Reporting

- a. The permittee shall retain each full report pursuant to the records retention provisions of Part III of this permit. The permittee shall also submit to the DEQ biomonitoring enforcement coordinator a copy of the full laboratory test reports at TX2 in accordance with the Report

Preparation Section of EPA-821-R-02-012 for every valid or invalid toxicity test initiated, whether carried to completion or not, including any test which is considered invalid, is terminated early for any reason, or which indicates receiving water toxicity. The reports shall be received no later than the 15th day of the month following the end of the testing period.

As of October 22, 2015, the EPA published the “National Pollutant Discharge Eliminations System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting” final rule, with an effective date of December 21, 2015, which requires the electronic reporting and sharing of Clean Water Act National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program information. DEQ has developed electronic systems so that NPDES-regulated entities can submit the required electronic DMRs and other reports to DEQ as the initial recipient. Instructions on how to access and use the appropriate electronic reporting tool can be found on DEQ’s website at <https://www.deq.ok.gov/water-quality-division/electronic-reporting/>. Assistance is also available by contacting DEQ at (405) 702-8100 or deqreporting@deq.ok.gov.

- b. A valid test for *Daphnia pulex* and/or *Pimephales promelas* (excluding retests) at TX2 must be reported on the DMR for each reporting period specified in Part I of this permit, unless the permittee is performing a TRE, which may increase the frequency of testing and reporting. An electronic DMR and a copy of the lab report must be received by the 15th day of the month following the end of the testing period.

If a test is determined to be invalid, the repeat test must be conducted in the coinciding testing period; if the first sample of the repeat test is taken after the last day of the final month in a testing period, the facility will be out of compliance with the reporting period. If a lethal failure is experienced for *Daphnia pulex* and/or *Pimephales promelas*, two (2) monthly WET retests are required during the two-month period following the month in which the test failure is experienced.

If more than one valid test (excluding retests) is performed on a species during a reporting period, the permittee shall report the lowest lethality LC₅₀ effluent concentrations for all such tests as the 7-day minimum on the DMR for the reporting period in question, specifying the dates of each test in the comments section of the DMR. Under no circumstance shall the monitoring/reporting period dates at the top of the DMR form be altered.

- c. If any test results in anomalous LC₅₀ findings (i.e., it indicates an interrupted dose response across the dilution series), DEQ recommends that the permittee contact the DEQ biomonitoring coordinator for a technical review of the test results prior to submitting the full laboratory test report and DMR. A summary of all tests initiated during the reporting period, including invalid tests, repeat tests, and retests, shall be attached to the reporting period DMR for DEQ review.

A test is a REPEAT test if it is performed as the result of a previously invalid test. A test is a RETEST if it is performed as the result of a previously failed test, the exception being where the test is the first (valid) test of a reporting period, in which case it is reported as such on the DMR for that period.

- (1) The reporting period test summary attached to the DMR shall be organized as follows:
 - (a) Invalid tests (basis for test invalidity must be described)
 - (b) Valid tests (other than retests) initiated during current reporting period

- (c) Valid retests for tests failed during previous reporting period (if not submitted in the previous reporting period test summary)
 - (d) Valid retests for tests failed during current reporting period
- (2) The following information shall be listed in the reporting period test summary for each valid test in categories (b) through (d) in Item 4.b(1) above:
- (a) Test species
 - (b) Date of test initiation at laboratory
 - (c) Results of all concurrent effluent analyses specified in Part I of this permit
 - (d) All test result parameters for the test species specified in Item 4.c below.
- d. The permittee shall report the following results for all VALID routine toxicity tests (excluding retests) on the DMR(s) for that reporting period in accordance with Item 4.b above and Part III of this permit.

Daphnia pulex

- (1) Parameter TIM3D: If the *Daphnia pulex* 48-hour LC₅₀ for survival is equal to or less than 100%, report a “1”; otherwise, report a “0”.
- (2) Parameter TAM3D: Report the *Daphnia pulex* 48-hour LC₅₀ value for survival.
- (3) Parameter TJM3D: Report the *Daphnia pulex* 48-hour percent mortality in the 100% effluent concentration.

Pimephales promelas (Fathead Minnow)

- (1) Parameter TIM6C: If the Fathead minnow 48-hour LC₅₀ for survival is equal to or less than 100%, report a “1”; otherwise, report a “0”.
- (2) Parameter TAM6C: Report the Fathead minnow 48-hour LC₅₀ value for survival.
- (3) Parameter TJM6C: Report the Fathead minnow 48-hour percent mortality in the 100% effluent concentration.

- e. The permittee shall report the following results for all VALID toxicity retests on the DMR(s) for that reporting period.
- (1) Retest #1 (STORET 22415): If the first monthly retest following failure of a routine test for *Daphnia pulex* and/or Fathead minnow results in a 48-hour LC₅₀ for survival equal to or less than 100%, report a “1”; otherwise, report a “0”.
 - (2) Retest #2 (STORET 22416): If the second monthly retest following failure of a routine test for *Daphnia pulex* and/or Fathead minnow results in a 48-hour LC₅₀ for survival equal to or less than 100%, report a “1”; otherwise, report a “0”.

Results of all retests shall be reported on a copy of the DMR for the reporting period (see Item 4.b above) in which the triggering routine test failure is experienced. Such retest results

(using STORET codes 22415 and 22416 only) shall be received no later than the 15th day of the month at the end of the testing period for the retest. The full report for the retest (see Item 4.a above) shall be submitted along with the retest DMR. Even if a retest cannot be conducted before the end of the reporting period for which it is required (due to test initiation interval requirements), the retest results shall still be reported for the reporting period in which the triggering test failure is experienced. Under no circumstance shall the monitoring/reporting period dates for a supplemental retest DMR ever be modified. The permittee shall indicate the retest date in the comments section of the supplemental DMR and insert the date the DMR is submitted in the lower right hand corner. In this manner, both retests are reported for the same reporting period as the failed routine test triggering the retests. If retesting is not required during a given reporting period, the permittee shall leave the DMR retest fields blank.

5. Monitoring Frequency Reduction

- a. The permittee may apply for a testing frequency reduction upon the successful completion of the first/second year of testing for *Daphnia pulex* and/or Fathead minnow with no lethal effects demonstrated at or below the critical dilution. Certification in accordance with Item 5.b of this section shall be submitted with the application for monitoring frequency reduction. If granted, the monitoring frequency may be reduced to a minimum of once per 6 months (actual testing must occur during the periods June 1 through September 30 and December 1 through March 31) for the approved test specie(s).
- b. Certification – The permittee must certify in writing that no lethal test failures have occurred for the species for which the monitoring frequency reduction is being requested and that all tests meet all test acceptability criteria in Item 3.a above. In addition, the permittee must provide a summary of all tests initiated during the period of certification including test initiation dates, species, test acceptability parameters, LC₅₀ concentrations, percent mortality at the 100% effluent dilution, and coefficients of variation for the control and 100% effluent dilution. If the certification is approvable, DEQ will issue a letter of confirmation of the monitoring frequency reduction. A copy of the confirmation letter will be forwarded to DEQ's Permit Compliance Tracking Section to update the permit reporting requirements. DEQ may refuse to approve the certification if it determines that, during the period for which the certification is submitted, there were errors in meeting test acceptability requirements, errors in statistical interpretation affecting test results reported on DMRs, late submissions of test reports or submissions of substantively incomplete test reports. If the certification is not approved, the permittee shall continue biomonitoring of the affected test species at a frequency of once per quarter until the permit is reissued.
- c. Lethal failures after a monitoring frequency reduction – If any lethal endpoint test is failed at any time after the granting of a monitoring frequency reduction, two monthly retests are required for that species in accordance with Item 2 above and the monitoring frequency for the affected test species shall be increased to the WET testing frequency prescribed in Part I before the frequency reduction was granted and shall remain for the life of the permit. If the permittee is performing a TRE this section does not apply.

6. Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE)

- a. Within ninety (90) days of confirming toxicity in the retests for a test species, the permittee shall submit to DEQ a TRE Action Plan and Schedule for conducting a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE). The TRE Action Plan shall specify the approach and methodology to be used in performing the TRE. A Toxicity Reduction Evaluation is an investigation intended to determine those actions necessary to achieve compliance with water quality-based effluent

limits by reducing an effluent's toxicity to an acceptable level. A TRE is defined as a step-wise process which combines toxicity testing and analyses of the physical and chemical characteristics of a toxic effluent to identify the constituents causing effluent toxicity and/or treatment methods which will reduce the effluent toxicity. The TRE Action Plan shall lead to the successful elimination of effluent toxicity and include the following:

- (1) Specific Activities. DEQ requires that a thorough audit of the design, operation and maintenance of the entire plant be done at the **outset** of the Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) and/or TRE, rather than later in the process.

The plan shall detail the specific approach the permittee intends to utilize in conducting the TRE. The approach may include toxicity characterizations, identifications and confirmation activities, source evaluation, treatability studies, or alternative approaches. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Characterization Procedures, the permittee shall perform multiple characterizations and follow the procedures specified in the documents "Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures" (EPA-600/6-91/003) and "Toxicity Identification Evaluation: Characterization of Chronically Toxic Effluents, Phase I" (EPA-600/6-91/005F), or alternate procedures. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Identification Evaluations and Confirmations, the permittee shall perform multiple identifications and follow the methods specified in the documents "Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity" (EPA/600/R-92/080) and "Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity" (EPA/600/R-92/081), as appropriate.

The documents referenced above may be available through the

National Technical Information Service (NTIS)

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5301 Shawnee Rd., Alexandria, VA 22312
E-mail: orders@ntis.gov
(800) 553-NTIS (6847), or at the

National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP)

U.S. EPA/NSCEP
P.O. Box 42419
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242-0419
E-mail: nscep@bps-lmit.com
1-(800) 490-9198

- (2) Sampling Plan (e.g., locations, methods, holding times, chain of custody, preservation, etc.). The effluent sample volume collected for all tests shall be adequate to perform the toxicity test, toxicity characterization, identification and confirmation procedures, and to conduct chemical specific analyses when a probable toxicant has been identified. Where the permittee has identified or suspects specific pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity, the permittee shall conduct, concurrent with toxicity testing, chemical specific analyses for the identified and/or suspected pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity. Where toxicity was demonstrated within 48 hours of test initiation, each composite sample shall be analyzed independently. Otherwise, the permittee may

substitute a composite sample, comprised of equal portions of the individual composite samples, for the chemical specific analysis.

- (3) Quality Assurance Plan (e.g., QA/QC implementation, corrective actions, etc.).
 - (4) Project Organization (e.g., project staff, project manager, consulting services, etc.).
- b. The permittee shall initiate the TRE Action Plan within thirty (30) days of submitting the plan and schedule. The permittee shall assume all risks for failure to achieve the required toxicity reduction.
 - c. The permittee shall submit to DEQ a quarterly TRE Activities Report with the Discharge Monitoring Report in months to be specified in their TRE plan, containing the following information:
 - (1) all data and/or substantiating documentation which identifies the pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity;
 - (2) all studies/evaluations and results on the treatability of the facility's effluent toxicity; and
 - (3) all data which identifies effluent toxicity control mechanisms that will reduce effluent toxicity to the level necessary to meet no significant toxicity at any dilution.
 - d. The permittee shall submit to DEQ a Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities no later than twenty-eight (28) months after confirming toxicity in the retests. The final report shall provide information pertaining to the specific control mechanism(s) selected that will, when implemented, result in reduction of effluent toxicity to a 48-hour LC₅₀ effluent value of greater than 100%. The final report shall also provide a schedule for implementing the selected control mechanism(s).
 - e. Quarterly testing during the TRE is the minimum monitoring requirement. DEQ recommends that permittees performing a TRE not rely on quarterly testing alone. Failure to identify the specific chemical compound(s) causing toxicity test failure will normally result in a permit limit for whole effluent toxicity per federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v).

MINIMUM QUANTIFICATION LEVELS (MQLs)

<u>METALS AND CYANIDE</u>	<u>(ug/L)</u>	<u>EPA METHOD</u>
Antimony (Total) ¹	60	200.7
Arsenic (Total) ¹	0.5	206.5
		200.7 revision 4.4 (1994)
		200.8 revision 5.4 (1994)
		200.9 revision 2.2 (1994)
Beryllium (Total) ¹	5	200.7
Cadmium (Total)	1	200.7 revision 4.4 (1994)
		200.8 revision 5.4 (1994)
		200.9 revision 2.2 (1994)
Chromium (Total) ¹	10	200.7
Chromium (3+) ¹	10	200.7
Chromium (6+) ¹	10	200.7
Copper (Total)	1	200.7 revision 4.4 (1994)
		200.8 revision 5.4 (1994)
		200.9 revision 2.2 (1994)
Lead (Total)	0.5	200.7 revision 4.4 (1994)
		200.8 revision 5.4 (1994)
		200.9 revision 2.2 (1994)
Mercury (Total) ¹	0.05	245.1 revision 3.0 (1994)
Molybdenum (Total)	30	200.7
Nickel (Total) ¹ [Freshwater]	10	200.7
Nickel (Total) [Marine]	5	200.8 revision 5.4 (1994)
		200.9 revision 2.2 (1994)
Selenium (Total) ¹	5	200.7 revision 4.4 (1994)
		200.8 revision 5.4 (1994)
		200.9 revision 2.2 (1994)
Silver (Total)	0.5	200.7 revision 4.4 (1994)
		200.8 revision 5.4 (1994)
		200.9 revision 2.2 (1994)
Thallium (Total) ¹	0.5	279.2 revision
Zinc (Total) ¹	20	200.7
Cyanide (Total) ¹	10	335.4
Phenols, (Total) ¹	10	604
<u>DIOXIN</u>		
2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo- P-Dioxin (TCDD) ^{2,4}	0.00001	1613
<u>VOLATILE COMPOUNDS</u>		
Acrolein ³	50	624
Acrylonitrile ³	50	624
Benzene ³	10	624
Bromoform ⁴	10	624
Carbon Tetrachloride ⁴	10	624
Chlorobenzene ⁴	10	624

MINIMUM QUANTIFICATION LEVELS (MQLs)

Chlorodibromomethane ⁴	10	624
Chloroethane	50	624
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether ³	10	624
Chloroform ⁴	10	624
Dichlorobromomethane ⁴	10	624
1,1-Dichloroethane ⁴	10	624
1,2-Dichloroethane ⁴	10	624
1,1-Dichloroethylene ⁴	10	624
1,2-Dichloropropane ⁴	10	624
1,3-Dichloropropylene ⁴	10	624
Ethylbenzene ⁴	10	624
Methyl Bromide [Bromomethane]	50	624
Methyl Chloride [Chloromethane]	50	624
Methylene Chloride ⁴	20	624
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane ⁴	10	624
Tetrachloroethylene ⁴	10	624
Toluene ⁴	10	624
1,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene ⁴	10	624
1,1,1-Trichloroethane ⁴	10	624
1,1,2-Trichloroethane ⁴	10	624
Trichloroethylene ⁴	10	624
Vinyl Chloride ⁴	10	624

ACID COMPOUNDS

2-Chlorophenol ⁴	20	625
2,4-Dichlorophenol ⁴	20	625
2,4-Dimethylphenol ¹	20	625
4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol [12 methyl 4,6-dinitrophenol] ⁴	50	625
2,4-Dinitrophenol ⁴	50	625
2-Nitrophenol ⁴	20	625
4-Nitrophenol ⁴	50	625
p-Chloro-m-cresol [4 chloro-3-methylphenol] ¹	20	625
Pentachlorophenol ⁴	50	625
Phenol ⁴	20	625
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol ⁴	20	625

BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS

Acenaphthene ⁴	20	625
Acenaphthylene ⁴	20	625
Anthracene ⁴	20	625
Benzidine ³	50	625
Benzo(a)Anthracene ⁴	20	625
Benzo(a)Pyrene ⁴	20	625
3,4-Benzofluoranthene ⁴	20	625

MINIMUM QUANTIFICATION LEVELS (MQLs)

Benzo(ghi)Perylene	20	625
Benzo(k)Fluoranthene ⁴	20	625
Bis(2-Chloroethoxy) Methane ⁴	20	625
Bis(2-Chloroethyl) Ether ⁴	20	625
Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) Ether ⁴	20	625
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl) Phthalate ⁴	20	625
4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether ⁴	20	625
Butylbenzyl Phthalate ⁴	20	625
2-Chloronaphthalene ⁴	20	625
4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether ⁴	20	625
Chrysene ⁴	20	625
Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene	20	625
1,2-Dichlorobenzene ⁴	20	625
1,3-Dichlorobenzene ⁴	20	625
1,4-Dichlorobenzene ⁴	20	625
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	20	625
Diethyl Phthalate ⁴	20	625
Dimethyl Phthalate ⁴	20	625
Di-n-butyl Phthalate ⁴	20	625
2,4-Dinitrotoluene ⁴	20	625
2,6-Dinitrotoluene ⁴	20	625
Di-n-octyl Phthalate ⁴	20	625
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine ³	20	625
Fluoranthene ⁴	20	625
Fluorene ⁴	20	625
Hexachlorobenzene ⁴	10	625
Hexachlorobutadiene ⁴	20	625
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ⁴	20	625
Hexachloroethane	20	625
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (2.3-o-phenylene pyrene)	20	625
Isophorone ⁴	20	625
Naphthalene ⁴	10	625
Nitrobenzene ⁴	20	625
N-nitrosodimethylamine	50	625
N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine	20	625
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	20	625
Phenanthrene ⁴	20	625
Pyrene ⁴	20	625
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene ⁴	20	625

PESTICIDES

Aldrin ¹	0.05	608
Alpha-BHC ¹	0.05	608

MINIMUM QUANTIFICATION LEVELS (MQLs)

Beta-BHC ¹	0.05	609
Gamma-BHC (Lindane) ¹	0.05	608
Delta-BHC ¹	0.05	608
Chlordane ¹	0.2	608
4,4'-DDT ¹	0.05	608
4,4'-DDE (p,p-DDX) ¹	0.05	608
4,4'-DDD (p,p-TDE) ¹	0.05	608
Dieldrin ¹	0.05	608
Alpha-endosulfan ¹	0.05	608
Beta-endosulfan ¹	0.05	608
Endosulfan sulfate ¹	0.05	608
Endrin ¹	0.05	608
Endrin aldehyde ¹	0.05	608
Heptachlor ¹	0.05	608
Heptachlor epoxide ¹ (BHC-hexachlorocyclohexane)	0.05	608
PCB-1242 ¹	0.25	608
PCB-1254	0.25	608
PCB-1221	0.25	608
PCB-1232	0.25	608
PCB-1248	0.25	608
PCB-1260	0.25	609
PCB-1016	0.25	608
PCB, total	0.25	608
Toxaphene ¹	0.3	608

¹ Based on Contract Required Quantitation Level (CRQL) developed pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122

² Dioxin National Strategy

³ No CRQL(Contract Required Quantification Level developed pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122) established

⁴ CRQL basis, equivalent to MQL

MQL based on 3.3 times LOD published in 40 CFR 136, Appendix B

Methods/MQL List modified 6/20/08